

# AGENDA ITEM NO. 6 - PUBLIC COMMENT

**From:** [noreply@sbid.us](mailto:noreply@sbid.us)  
**To:** [public-comment](#)  
**Subject:** Online Form Submittal: Public Comment  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 25, 2022 12:19:41 PM

---

## Public Comment

### Speaker's Request Form

*All public comment submissions must be received 1 hour in advance of the meeting and will be read aloud to the Board by the Board Secretary during the appropriate portion of the meeting with a reading limit of 3 minutes for each comment. Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in a meeting should direct such request to the Board Secretary at (619) 409-6703 at least forty-eight (48) hours before the meeting, if possible.*

*For best results, compose your comments in your favorite word processing software and use the copy/paste function to place your comment in the form.*

First Name	Russell
Last Name	Walsh
Address	<span style="background-color: black; color: black;">Redacted</span> Montiel Truck Trl, Trail
City	Jamul
State	CA
Zip Code	91935
Meeting Date and Time	5/25/2022 6:00 PM
I would like my comment read under:	Public Comment
Enter Your Comment	<p>Consent Item 6 should be vetted before the entire SWA board.</p> <p>The Sweetwater Authority doesn't have a supply problem with water you have a demand problem. None of what happened at Loveland was caused by nature. The lake is exactly at the level it was after this board excessively drained it in January 2021. SDCWA Predicts plenty of water for our region for years to come. It will likely rain heavily before drought has an effect on your legitimate water supplies, which the minimum pool at Loveland is not part of.</p> <p>The boat launch extensions are a terrible idea on so many levels. It only originated in the first place because of the interest in selling the water to Padre Dam and Otay, and probably sand</p>

mining at Loveland, all of which are not happening.

Most of the time the proposed infrastructure will be underwater and certainly after we win the protection of our minimum pool. This will be another Board of directors boondoggle like the Sand Mining entrepreneurial “miss adventure”.

The boat launch project discussed tonight maintains the threat to drain our lake even more excessively than was done in the winter of 2021. Mr. Preciado, who seems like the most knowledgeable director, is appropriately skeptical.

The idea that drones can be used instead of a caretaker in a boat is interesting, but with constantly changing water levels and the river often bringing in heavy debris in high flows. There is no way of getting around needed boat access. Even at Dead Pool, A boat would be a requirement of State Waterboards, DSOD, and other stakeholders including first responder agencies. How will a drowning SWA employee, contractor, child, or homeless person be saved without a boat at the lake? You would be looking for a tragic meaning to the phrase “dead pool”.

It would be best to quit trying to work around the pre-Gillingham feasibility study and pre-Hector Martinez get-rich-quick sand Mining schemes era. All this entrepreneurial adventure has cost your ratepayers and your reputations.

A lower level at Loveland is not going to accomplish anything but a one-time water grab of the emergency pool and recreational pool. It is going to be legally blocked, or reconsidered by this board before it happens. It will end yet another waste of human capital and resources for your ratepayers. Projected advantages of the one-time water grab are going to be more than eradicated by cost to implement, post-construction maintenance, and usage difficulties and will ultimately be abandoned and trashed. More likely, the Sweetwater Authority would just take the water and never build the planned boat launch extension.

Focus SWA human capital, on your mission and clean JPA scope, on dam safety, and the equitable treatment of citizens in our region all around, not on getting rich quick schemes and other moral dilemmas of your own making.

---

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)